РОССИЙСКАЯ АКАДЕМИЯ НАУК ИНСТИТУТ ЯЗЫКОЗНАНИЯ

RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS

КАВКАЗОВЕДЕНИЕ CAUCASIOLOGY

Nº 15



MOCKBA - MOSCOW 2010

КАВКАЗОВЕДЕНИЕ,№ 15,2010 CAUCASOLOGY,№ 15,2010

DR. SELAHITTIN TOLKUN

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE /D/ CONSONANT OF OLD TURKIC IN UZBEK

The development of $/d/ > /\delta / > /y/$ in the history of Turkic languages is regarded as one of the fundamental criteria in the classification of these languages. How did this consonantal development occur in Uzbek which is a language still bearing many vocal characteristics of Old Turkic? In the classification of Turkic languages Uzbek is included in the /y/ group; but has this development occurred in every word carrying this consonant? The aim of this article is to answer these questions.

Key words: Old Turkic, Uzbek, adaq / aδaq / ayaq

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE /d/ CONSONANT OF OLD TURKIC IN UZBEK

Dr. Selahittin Tolkun
Eastern Mediterranean University
Faculty of Science and Literature
Department of Turkish Language&Literature

The /d/ sound of Old Turkic has entered a development process as $/d/ > /\delta / > /y/$ beginning from the Middle Turkic period. This process can be observed from written texts. The differentiations displayed by the sound are considered as an important element in classification of the Turkic languages and dialects.

It can be seen that this development has taken place in different words of historical and contemporary languages. For instance Turkish *kuyu* "well" appears as *quduq* in Uzbek. Likewise general Turkic *ördek* "duck" has developed as *üyrek* in Kazakh.

The aim of this article will be to designate the developments this sound has displayed in contemporary Uzbek taking Özbek Tilining İzâhli Luğäti as a basis. Consequently words listed in this work can

he traced in this dictionary. However infrequently examples are

given from colloquial language.

Uzbek along the lines of Uyghur still preserves many vocal characteristics of Old Turkic: either preservation or -G > -Kdevelopment of the -G sound at the end of words⁶⁴; the preservation -G- at the beginnings of internal syllables⁶⁵; mostly preservation of K-, t-, b- at the beginnings of words; non-correlation of the /t/ and /ç/ allophones between two vowels; frequently the lack of sonorisation of the unvoiced consonant /t/ at the beginning of suffix when added on words ending with /n/ or /l/. e.g. yämåq "patch", sänçıq "griping pain", tây "mountain", beg "lord", uluy "great, exalted", säriq "yellow", äyıq "bear"; algän "the one whoe takes", quryåq "dry, rainless", köz "eye", kül- "to laugh", toy- "to become satisfied", täs "outside, exterior"; ber- "to give", burga "flea", bar "existing, in existence, present"; etil- "to be done", açi- "to hurt, to give pain", bozil- "to go bad", keçik- "to be late", oçåq "stove"; kiyintir- "to dress, clothe", åvuntir- "to soothe", siltä- "to shake", yönältir- "to direct", vältırä- "to shine" etc.

The /d/ sound of Old Turkic appears in Uzbek in different forms. However it is seen that this sound has generally evolved into /y/ similar to many other Turkic languages. As a matter of fact Uzbek is classified in the group displaying /d/ > /y/ as a result of this

characteristic.

Before looking at other forms which the /d/ sound has evolved into in Uzbek, it has to be mentioned that some verbs present in Uzbek are not attested Old Turkic texts. It is possible that some of these words are not attested as they were simply not used in written language. On the other hand some of them must be borrowed from Mongolian or shared with Mongolian. In these words the /d/ sound either has been preserved or has undergone the mentioned changes.

65 Even the word qiraq "coast" of Chagatai appears as qiryaq in

contemporary Uzbek.

⁶⁴ However sometime it is seen that in colloquial language /k/ sounds at the end of words are pronounced as /g/: issiq / issiy "hot", bäliq / bälıy "fish", båtqåqlik / båtqåylik "swamp" ect. (X. Äbdurähmånov -Ä. Räifev – D. Sådmångulova: 34).

Explanations will be given on these words further in the article where ever appropriate.

1. Preservation of /t/

As it was mentioned before no change is seen in words originating from Old Turkic and bearing the /t/ consonant: otin "wooden", xåtin "lady, woman", butä- "to prune, to trim", butåq "knot (in timber), branch", ötä- "to pay", tit- "to card", otåv "tent", tin- "to stop, cease", tinglä- "to listen" etc. Additionally unlike Turkish no change occurs when suffixes beginning with vowels are added on words ending with /t/.

et-i-l-> etil-i-ito be done" yet-ek>yetith "spare" a^{t} +a-> <math>ata-ito name" titort+i+nei>itortineii" fourth"

However this situation has its exceptions and can be classified as follow:

Words which have gone under t- > d- development during the Chagatai period: de- "to say", dek / däy "like", dudåq "lip", kündüz "daytime", yulduz "star" etc 66.

t- > d- which has taken place with the influence of Kipchak and Oghuz tribes constituting the Uzbeks: dälä "field, uncultivated country", dengiz "sea", dolmä "dolma", dol "hail", dön- "to return" (probably from Oghuz), dåvuş (dialectical) "sound".

/d/ sound in onomatopoeia: dorillä- "make a deep irritating noise", däränglä- "make a clattering or clanging noise", dikillä- "to hurry", diydirä- "to shiver", doq "behaviour done or remark made in order to frighten somebody", dong "swollen, puffed up" etc.

It would be appropriate to look at Uzbek suffixes bearing /t/ or /d/ in addition to these examples. In written language flexional suffixes in particular do not comply with vocal harmonies. Causative, locative, ablative, direct past tense suffixes and the copula which

⁶⁶ Interestingly the contemporary Uzbek word täyın "even, also, yet, still" was dayı in Chagatai.

ere

·ds

tin

åq

ke re

1e

d

t

hear /t/ or /d/ are usually written with /d/: etdir- "to have someone to do or make something", kökdä "in the sky", sütdän "from milk". voqdır "there is not", bitdi "it's finished". However these words are pronounced with /t/ harmonious with the general Turkic consonant harmony⁶⁷.

After these explanations one can say that the /d/ sound which the exact pronunciation of is unknown in Old Turkic is pronounced as a normal /d/ in contemporary Uzbek. Now let's look at some

examples still preserving this sound:

ädäs- "1. to loose ones way. 2. to be mistaken. 3. to become separated. 4. to change something with something else without being aware.

adak of Old Turkic lives in Uzbek in two different forms but with semantic changes:

adaq > åyåq "foot"

adaq > ådåq "end, conclusion"

The proof of the common root of ådåq and åyåk is the Kyrgyz verb avakta- "to end, to conclude".

buyday > buydäy "wheat"

id > hid "smell, scent, odour"

This word is also present as is which will be touched on further in the article.

ıdla- > hidlä- "to smell, to sniff"

idis > idis "cup, vessel"

cida- > cidä- "to be patient"

qadu- > qädä- "to stick or thrust something sharp into something, someone"

This verb is not present in Sir G. Clauson's dictionary; however it is seen in Tatar and Bashkir as gaza- / gaδa-⁶⁸. Also it exists in contemporary Mongolian as hada- with the same meaning and is in D. Lessing's Mongolian Dictionary which is translated into Turkish

⁶⁷ X. Äbdurähmånov – Ä. Räifev – D. Şadmångulova: 48.

⁶⁸ See, Karsilastırmalı Türk Lehceleri Sözlüğü I. sapla-.

by Günay Karaağaç. Therefore it does not seem to be wrong to say that this verb is borrowed from or shared with Mongolian.

qıdır- "to look for, to seek, to search"

müdrä- to doze"

quduq "well"

sıdır- "1 to have someone strip or skim son

sidir- "1. to have someone strip or skim something off. 2. to draw (a sword)

südrä- "to drag"

This verb has developed in Kazakh as süyre- and in Kyrgyz as süyrö- (see Karşilaştırmalı Türk Lehçeleri Sözlüğü I, sürüklemek)

yulduz > ildiz "root"

2. /d/ > /t/

Rarely the Old Turkic /d/ sound has developed in Uzbek as /t/:

izde- > istä- "to want; to seek"
eşid- > eşit- "to hear"
küd- > küt- "to wait"
sürd- > sürt- "to rub"
yed-ek-le- > yetäklä- "to bring along"
yıqıd- > yıqıt- "to demolish, to destroy"

The verb y_1q_1t - is not attested in Old Turkic; but the lack of causative connotation in Modern Uzbek verb proves that this suffix is the Old Turkic intensive verbal derivational suffix -d-.

yoqad- > yoqåt- "to lose"

3./d/ > /z/

The /z/ sound which came into existence as a result of the /d/ > / δ / development is marked in Karakhanid, Khoresmian and early Chagatai texts with the Arabic 5. Therefore it must have been an interdental consonant. Whereas Uzbek words bearing the /d/ > / δ / development are pronounced with a normal /z/:

edgü > ezgü "good, beneficial" yad- > yåz- "to spread" (also present as yåy-.) qodu- > qozı- "to provoke" The parallel of the Uzbek verb *qozi*- "to provoke" is present in Mongolian as *hudhu*-. Therefore it is possible to say that this verb is shared in Mongolian and Uzbek and has undergone |d| > |z|.

The verb $bez\ddot{a}$ - "to decorate" should also be mentioned her as it is known that the verb has developed as $bediz+e->be\delta ze->bezze->bezze-$

tözim "patience"

This word originates from the root $t\ddot{o}$:z- present in Clauson's dictionary. It is controversial whether this /z/ originates from an older /d/ sound. But the presence of the word in Anatolian dialects as $d\ddot{o}y$ -at least points out a $/\delta/ > /y/$ development⁶⁹.

4./d/ > /y/

As it was mentioned before the development displayed by the /d/ sound in Uzbek is mainly in this direction. It can easily be traced in historical texts that this development has occurred as /d/ > / δ / >

/y/:

Old Turkic $qad\gamma u$ and adaq have preserved the /d/ sound in Yusuf Has Hacib's renown Kutadgu Bilig which was completed in $1069/1070^{70.71}$. In Nehcü'l-Ferādîs⁷² which is probably written before 1358 by Mahmûd b. Alî in Khoresmian Turkic the word ayaq "foot" is present as $a\delta aq$ whereas $qa\delta yu$ "anxiety, worry" is present in one place as qayyi and in many other places as $qa\delta yu$; derivatives such as

70 See. R. Arat, Kutadgu Bilig I Metin, pg. XXIII.

⁷² See. J. Eckmann, "Harezm Türkçesi", In *Tarihî Türk Şiveleri*, pg. 177.

⁶⁹ In the *Tarama Sözlük, döymemek* is explained as dayanamamak, katlanamamak, tahammül edememek "not be able to stand, bear or put up with" and is related to the root *döy-* (< *töz-* <**töd-*); and *döyümlük* as dayanma gücü, sabir "patience" (volume 4).

⁷¹ See. Kemal Eraslan – Osman F. Sertkaya – Nuri Yüce, *Kutadgu Bilig III Index*, pg. 4 and 213.

 $qa\delta yur$ -, $qa\delta yurt$ -, $qa\delta yusuzluq$ are also present⁷³. Likewise in the poems of the early Chagatai poet Harezmli Hafiz which is believed to live in the 15th century these words appear with interdental /z/ as $a\delta aq$ and $qa\delta yu^{74}$. Eckmann notes in his article named "Harezm Türkçesi" that the word ayaq "foot" existed as $a\delta aq$ as an archaism before Nevâî⁷⁵. Again Eckmann in his article named Küçük Çagatay Grameri notes that the $/\delta/$ sound of the Karakhanid and Khoresmian periods has developed into /y/ in the Chagatai period with a few exceptions⁷⁶. These words have developed into ayaq and qayyu with Nevãî.

adyır > äyyır "stallion $ad-1-n+1- > \ddot{a}yni-$ "to change, to be spoiled" adıy > äyiq "bear" adır- > äyir- "to separate" badrag > bäyråg "flag" bedük > büyük "big, great" bidik > biviq "moustache" bod > boy "height, stature" bodu- > boyä- "to paint" kidin > keyin "later" küdegü > küyåv "son-in-law, bridegroom" qadyu > qäyyu "anxiety, worry" aadın > qäyın "in-law" aidin > aivin "difficult" qid - > qiy- "to mince" qod- > qoy- "to put, to place" qudruq > quyruq "tail" saryad- > säryäy- "to turn yellow"

⁷⁶ Ibid. pg. 116.

⁷³ See. J. Eckmann – Semih Tezcan – Hamza Zülfikar, Nehcü'l-Ferâdîs I, Metin, pg. 14; Aysu Ata, Nehcü'l-Ferâdîs III Dizin – Sözlük, pg. 5, 195, 208.

⁷⁴ See. Recep Toparlı, Hârezmli Hâfiz'in Divanı, pg. 31.

⁷⁵ See. J. Eckmann, Harezm, Kıpçak ve Çagatay Türkçesi Üzerine Araştırmalar, pg 12.

sid-> siy- "to urinate" tud-> tiy- "to obstruct, to prevent" tod-> toy- "to become satisfied" uluy-ad-> ulyäy- "to grow up" yaday > yåyåv "on foot, pedestrian" tda ber-> yubår- "to send"

This verb which appears in Uzbek dialects as yiber-, yuvår-, iber- is explained by Mehmet Tulum in his doctoral work titled Özbekçe'de tasvir Yardımcı Fiilleri as ıd-a ber- > ıya ber- > iyeber- > viber-.

However when it is taken into consideration that the back vowel /1/1 is shorter living the other vowels in Turkish and its disappearance in initial position in Kazakh⁷⁷ it is possible to suggest that this verb has evolved as id-u ber- > iyu ber- > 'yubar- > yubar- > yubar-

5. /d/ > /c/

This development is observed only in a few examples. As it is known Uzbeks are mainly composed of Qarluq, Qipchak and Oghuz tribes. This /d/ > /c/ development is probably a legacy of the Kipchak tribes.

The development of /d/ > /c/ is a controversial issue. Has it evolved as $/d/ > /\delta/ > /y/ > /c/$ or as $/d/ > /\delta/ > /c/$? The existence of the Uzbek *bezä*- verb also in the *becä*- form may suggest the development to be as /z/ > /c/. Also the following examples can be given:

adır-a- > äcrä- "to be separated" adırıq > äcriq "couch grass" bediz+e- > beoze- > bezze- > becä- "to decorate"

6. d/ > g/

The author of these lines suggests that the process for this development has to be as $/d/ > /\delta/ > /y/ > /g/$. This situation can be observed in the following Turkish words:

⁷⁷ A similar situation is present in Kazakh as well. For instance *nanuv* "to believe, to trust" (< *ınan-*); *senüv* "to believe" (< *ıṣan-*) (Karşilaştırmalı Türk Lehçeleri Sözlüğü I. güvenmek).

Old Turkic Modern Turkish eder > eğer⁷⁸ "saddle" ödle > öğle "noon"

Uzbek examples for this group are:

eder > egär "saddle"

idi > egä "owner"

kidiz > kigiz "felt"

kidin > kegin "later"

kegin is only present in colloquial language.

7. /d/ > /s/

This development must have occurred as $/d/ > /\delta/ > /s/$. As it is known one of the fundamental characteristics of Chagatai was the -mAz > -mAs suffix. Therefore a similar development is seen in the following word as an exception:

 $\iota d > \iota \delta > is$ "smell, scent odour"

 $ιdka->?ιδk\ddot{a}->isk\ddot{a}-$ "to smell, to sniff"

Compare these two examples with aforementioned hid and hidlä-

Eventually it would not be inappropriate to suggest that the historical /d/ sound has developed into modern Uzbek in seven different ways. This sound frequently is /y/ but the original form is also considerably preserved. In the classification of Turkic languages Uzbek always has been classified as a /y/ language. However the preservation of the original /d/ form in many examples still should not be disregarded.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Äbdurähmånov, X. – Ä. Räifev – D. Şanqulova: *Özbek Tilining Ämäliy Grämmätıkä*, Tashkand 1992, 256.

Akalın, Mehmet: Tarihî Türk Şiveleri, 2nd edition, TKAE: 73,

⁷⁸ This word is written as *eyer* in Standard Turkish but is put down as *eger* / *eğer* in *Kâmûs-ı Türkî* and *Yeni Tarama Sözlüğü (Kâmûs-ı Türkî*: 144; *Yeni Tarama Sözlüğü*: 78).

Ankara 1988, VII+276.

Arat, R. Rahmeti, Kutadgu Bilig I Metin, 4th edition, TDK: 458, Ankara 1999,

Ata, Aysu, Nehcü'l-Ferâdîs III Dizin-Sözlük. TDK: 518, Ankara 1988,

XLIII+538.

Clauson, Sir Gerard: An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish, Oxford 1972. XXXI+989.

Derleme Sözlüğü IV (D), Ankara 1993, TDK: 211/IV, VI+1311 – 1648 pg. Eckmann, Janos - Semih Tezcan - Hamza Zülfikar, Nehcü'l-Ferädîs II Metin,

TDK: 518, Ankara (no date), XI+312.

Eckmann, Janos (prep. Osman F. Sertkaya), Harezm, Kıpçak ve Çagatay

Türkçesi Üzerine Araştırmalar, TDK: 635, Ankara 1996, XVIII+438.

Eraslan, Kemal - Osman F. Sertkaya - Nuri Yüce, Kutadgu Bilig III İndeks, TKAE: 47, Istanbul 1979, XV+565.

Karşilaştırmalı Türk Lehçeleri Sözlüğü I, Kültür Bakanlığı / 1371, Kaynak Eserler / 54, Ankara 1991, XIII+1183.

Koç, Kenan - Ayabek Bayniyazov - Vehbi Başkapan: Kazakşa-Türikşe Sözdik, Turkistan 2003, XVII+637. Lessing, Ferdinand D. (trans.: Günay Karaağaç): Moğolca-Türkçe Sözlük I-II,

TDK: 829/1-2, Ankara 2003. v. I, XXIII+933; v. II, 836. Özbek Tilining İzâhli Lügäti (by committee), Moscow 1981, v. I, 632; v. II, 717. Şemseddin Sâmî: Kâmûs-ı Türkî (facsimile), İstanbul 1987, 16+1574.

Toparlı, Recep, Hārezmli Hāfiz'in Divanı, TDK: 696, Ankara 1998, 775+586. Tulum Mehmet M.: Özbekçe'de Tasvir Yardımcı Fiilleri, İstanbul Üniversitesi

Sosyal Bilimler Enstitusu, 1997 (unprintied doctoral thesis), 240.

Yeni Tarama Sözlüğü, (org.) Cem Dilçin, TDK: 503, Ankara 1983, XI+467+7.

Доктор / Selanthin Tolkun

РАЗВИТИЕ ПИСЬМЕННОСТИ ДРЕВНЕТЮРКСКИХ языков на узбекском

Развитие /d/>/δ/>/y/ в истории языков тюркской группы расценено в качестве одного из фундаментальных критериев согласованно Развитие этих языков. классификации происходило на узбекском языке. Обладает ли этот язык особенностей специфических вокальных тех множеством (гласными, согласными, мелодией речи), присущих языкам древнетюркской группы? В классификации языков тюркской группы узбекский язык включен в /у/ группу. Но вот только возникает вопрос: протекало ли это развитие в каждом слове, обладающем этими согласными? Цель этой статьи состоит в том, чтобы ответить на все эти интересующие нас вопросы.