# academicJournals

Vol. 9(20), pp. 879, 884, 23 October 2014, DOI: 10.5897/ERR2014.1784 Article Number: 825009B47818 ISSN 1990-3839 Copyright © 2014 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/ERR

## **Educational Research and Reviews**

## Full Length Research Paper

# The relationship between anxiety and attitude of students learning Turkish as a foreign language and their achievement on target language

## Ali GÖÇER

Erciyes University Faculty of Education, Department of Turkish Language Education, (38039), Melikgazi - Kayseri/Turkey.

Received 7 March, 2014; Accepted 22 August, 2014

The purpose of this study is to assess the anxiety connected with target language of the high school students learning Turkish as a foreign language. In this study, descriptive relational screening model was used. Two scales were used for collecting data. First scale was FLCAS - Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale; it was developed by Horwitz et al. and its validity and reliability was done by Horwitz. Alpha reliability coefficient of this scale was .97. The second scale was FLAS - Foreign Language Attitude Scale; it was developed by Briem and its validity and reliability was done by Corbin and Chiachiere. The scale was translated into Turkish and alpha reliability coefficient of this scale was .88. The participants are 314 students (from 27 countries) learning Turkish in GİH High School in Kayseri-Turkey. 314 students' responses were studied. The data were analyzed using means, standard deviation, Pearson correlation analysis, regression, and Mann Whitney U test. The findings show that there are in a tight relationship the success of Turkish course with the students' foreign language anxiety and attitude. Students who fail have a negative higher evaluation score than successful students. In addition, students who fail have more total anxiety score than successful students (p<0.05).

**Key words:** Learning Turkish as a foreign language, foreign language attitude and anxiety, foreign language achievement.

#### INTRODUCTION

Teaching of Turkish as a foreign language has gained significant momentum in recent years. Prepared by the Turkish Council of Higher Education, Teaching Turkish graduate program is given course of training Turkish as a Foreign Language. In addition, many universities have started to give training to graduate programs for the teaching of Turkish as a foreign language (Göçer, 2009, p. 1301).

At Gazi, Ankara etc. universities established The Turkish Learning Centres and have issued a book set to be used in training ( $T\ddot{O}MER_a$ , 2002;  $T\ddot{O}MER_b$ , 2006). Turkish Cooperation and Development Agency (TIKA) has prepared a set of language learning syllabus to be used in teaching foreigners Turkish.

Interest, desire, motivation, attitude, and anxiety affect learning a target foreign language. In this study, the direct

E-mail: ali.gocer@hotmail.com, gocer@erciyes.edu.tr.

Authors agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u>
<u>Attribution License 4.0 International License</u>

or indirect factors affecting foreign language learning/ teaching are anxiety and attitudes. Many studies have been done on the effects of anxiety and attitudes on language learning. They are: "Students' and Teachers' Beliefs about Language Learning" (Kern, 1995); Anxiety and Foreign Language Learning: Towards A Theoretical Explanation (MacIntyre and Gardner, 1989); 'Influencing High School Students learning English Foreign Language Anxiety' (Doğan, 2008); 'Anxiety in the Process of Foreign Language Learning' (Ergür, 2004); 'Relationship Between Students' Foreign Language Anxiety Level and Achievement' (Gülsün, 1997); 'A Study on the Role of Anxiety in Second Language Learning' (Dalkılıç, 2001). In addition, many researchers have done research on the impact of anxiety in learning a foreign language. According to Na, anxiety has been considered among the most important emotional factors in second language acquisition (2007: 22). Sertçetin (2006), Na (2007), Xu and Li (2010) etc. have studied the relationship between foreign language anxiety and other variables.

Motivation and attitudes were first studied made by Gardner and Lambert (1959) in second language learning (cited from Gardner and Lambert, 1959; Saif and Lori, 1999, p. 23). This work was later studied by Smythe (1981), Pierson et al. (1980) and Salasiah (1999). According to these studies, there is a significant relationship between students' achievements and attitudes towards the target language.

According to Öncül (2000, p. 1082-1083), attitude is the consistent behavior of persons, objects that invariably leads to belief. In Gardner's attitude, an emotional property (1978, p. 1) explains the motivation and attitude in learning the language acts as a complement. According to Brown (1994, p. 168), attitude is the human mental and physical development of every aspect in childhood. Attitudes of people vary. The relationship within human experiences occurs as a result of emotional factors. Starks and Paltridge (1996, p. 218), in their work titled learning a language, say that language is closely related to attitudes.

To be successful in foreign language learning, the students' faith, motivation levels, emotional state, attitudes and expectations of individual properties in closely related variables count. Affective states have an important place in foreign language anxiety; similar to other individual differences in learning language, it appears to be an important variable affecting success (Aydın and Zengin, 2008, p. 82).

There are three types of anxiety in language learning: anxiety due to persona; worry about having a creature (cited from Ellis, 1994, Sasson, 2010). The second type of anxiety is caused by exams or oral presentations. The third type of anxiety occurs in reading or speech understanding, where the necessary competence is not available. This occurs from a learning situation (Sasson, 2010). Depending on the situation of anxiety, it is an integral part of foreign language learning process. Students are forced to learn the use of language if they

could not express themselves well enough, think well, and lack the real intelligence. This kind of anxiety obstructs the right to use the target language by students (Ergür, 2004, p. 49-50). Ellis, concern about the following factors, indicates that (cited from Ellis, 1994; Sasson, 2010): 1. Learners have a competitive nature. 2. Teachers' questions are threatening. 3. There is lack of a better second-language learning environment.

#### **Problem statement**

- 1. Is there a relationship between academic achievement and, anxiety and attitudes towards TFL learners'?
- 2. Is there a difference in terms of attitude and anxiety scores of successful and unsuccessful students?

#### **METHOD**

In this study, descriptive relational screening model was used.

#### The working group

The working group of this study composed of high school students who are foreign nationals. The research was conducted in the 2007-2008 academic years. The participant are 314 students (came from 27 countries) learning Turkish in State High School (GİHL) in Kayseri-Turkey.

#### Data collection and analysis

Two scales were used for collecting data. The first (FLCAS -Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale) was developed by Horwitz et al. (1986). Validity and reliability of the scale was made by Horwitz (1986). Turkish version of the scale was adapted by Gülsün (1997), Dalkılıç (2001) and Batumlu and Erden (2007); it consists of two parts. The first part contains personal information such as Turkish as a foreign language learning goals of participants, grade level, gender, and nationality. The other part is the Turkish version of the scale. The scale consists of 33 items. Eight of them (1, 9, 14, 18, 24, 27, 29, 32) communicate concerns, nine (3, 7, 13, 15, 20, 23, 25, 31, 33) fear of negative evaluation, five (2, 8, 10, 19, 21) for the test anxiety. The remaining eleven items were collected in a group under the name of Turkish-class anxiety. The 5 Likert scale ranged from "1 - Strongly Agree" to "5 -Strongly Disagree". The Turkish version of the scale was made by Gülsün (1997). Using the Turkish version of the scale reliability coefficient was calculated. The scale was applied twice in 30 days, with 32 students. For the data, Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) reliability formula for calculating the reliability coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) was .97.

The second scale (*FLAS – Foreign Language Attitude Scale*) was developed by Briem (1974). The scale consists of 32 items. Validity and reliability study of the scale was made by Corbin and Chiachiere (1995). To respond to the questions of the scale, 'Certainly Agree', 'Agree', 'Undecided', 'Disagree' and 'Strongly Disagree' options were presented in the form of five-degree. The scale was translated from English to Turkish by two English teachers and then Turkish to English translation was built and made suitable. The scale was applied twice in 30 days, with 33 students. For the data, Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) reliability formula is used to calculate the reliability coefficient ( $\alpha$ ), found as .88.

In this study, the relationship between achievements of students and anxiety and attitudes was investigated. The scores of anxiety and attitudes were examined in terms of the following variables:

| Nationality (reached in the country) | f   | %     |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Turkish Republics arrivals           | 13  | 81,25 |
| Arrivals from other countries        | 301 | 18,75 |
| Total                                | 314 | 100,0 |
| Grade Level                          | f   | %     |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> Classes              | 81  | 37,50 |
| 10 <sup>th</sup> Classes             | 107 | 62,50 |
| 11 <sup>th</sup> Classes             | 126 | 62,50 |
| Total                                | 314 | 100,0 |

**Table 2.** Pearson Correlation Coefficients between Students' Achievement and, Anxiety and Attitudes against Turkish as a Foreign Language

| Sub-dimension of anxiety |  |  |                               |  |       |                    |
|--------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|-------|--------------------|
|                          | FLCAS -<br>Anxiety of<br>Communication | FLCAS - Anxiety<br>of Negative<br>evaluation | FLCAS -<br>Anxiety of<br>Test | FLCAS - Anxiety<br>of Turkish<br>classroom | Total | FLAS -<br>Attitude |
| Success                  | -,041                                  | -,078  | -,117                         | -,030                                      | -,057 | -,005              |

differences in gender, nationality, grade level and purpose of learning a foreign language. 9<sup>th</sup> grade level of students in the study group was '1'; 10<sup>th</sup>, '2'; and 11<sup>th</sup>, '3'. In terms of nationality, the Turkish republics students were '1', and '2' for arrivals from other countries. Coding, analysis and investigations were made accordingly.

The first semester students studying Turkish lessons receive intensive semester grade point averages of scores; achievement status is taken as the criterion variable. Students' success and status of transcripts showing the progression of book data were obtained with permission from National Education Directorate of the School Administration. Note scores are based on the average, calculated in accordance with relevant regulations, the principles of assessment and evaluation. 0-44 was accepted as 'not successful'; 45-100 was accepted as 'successful'. Analyses were made in accordance with these ranges. By using SPSS 11.5 package program on the data obtained, means, standard deviation, Pearson correlation analyzing, regression, and Mann Whitney U test were analyzed.

#### **FINDING**

# The findings about personal information of the students learning Turkish as a foreign language

As shown in Table 1, total number of the students learning Turkish as a foreign language is 314 (13 students from Turkish Republics; 301 students from other countries). 81 of the students are in 9<sup>th</sup> grade; 107 in 10<sup>th</sup> grade and 126 in 11<sup>th</sup> grade.

# The findings obtained with two scales and the success situation of the students

The relationship with total anxiety scores and dimensions

of the anxiety scale of students' success was determined using Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (Table 2). According to results, the relationship between the success and level of total anxiety (sub-dimension of anxiety: anxiety of communication, anxiety of negative evaluation, anxiety of Turkish classroom) was close to zero. There is negative and weak correlation between success and test anxiety. As can be seen in the table, Pearson's Correlation Coefficient is close to zero.

Is predictor of success attitude and sub-dimension of anxiety significant? Answer to this question was investigated with multiple regression tests (Table 3). Looking at the variable R<sup>2</sup> it is predicted only by 0,026 percent with the success of the variable sub-components and attitudes.

The relationship of the success of the students with sub-dimensions of anxiety and attitude is evaluated using regression models. The test result shows this model is not significant (p> 0.05) (Table 4).

Whether or not different in terms of anxiety, subdimension of anxiety and attitude of successful and unsuccessful students was investigated with the Mann Whitney U test. Due to the big difference between the number of successful and unsuccessful students, a nonparametric test was preferred. The following are Mann Whitney U test results related to descriptive value of variables (Table 5a and b; Table 6).

According to results of the comparison, there is in term of negative evaluation a significant difference (p> 0.05) between the two groups. Successful students score higher than unsuccessful students who have a negative evaluation. In addition, unsuccessful students have a higher total anxiety score than successful students (p<0.05).

**Table 3.** Related To the Multiple Regression Test Whether Significant Predictors of Success of Attitude and Sub-Dimension of Anxiety

| Model | R    | R Square |
|-------|------|----------|
| 1     | ,161 | ,026     |

**Table 4.** The Relationship the Success with Sub-Dimensions of the Anxiety and Attitude

| Model      | Sum of<br>Squares | sd | Mean<br>Square | F     | Р    |
|------------|-------------------|----|----------------|-------|------|
| Regression | 2,593             | 5  | ,519           | 1,634 | ,151 |

p>0.05

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The relationship between students' success and both total anxiety scores and dimensions of the anxiety scale was determined using Pearson's Correlation Coefficient. According to the results of the relationship between success and level of total anxiety (sub-dimension of anxiety: anxiety of communication, anxiety of negative evaluation, anxiety of Turkish classroom), correlation was found close to zero. According to MacIntyre and Gardner, Students' language learning anxiety increases as a result of the adverse situation in the process (1989, p. 257). According to Öner and Gedikoğlu, anxiety can lead to difficulties in learning the language as well as pronunciation; students also lack information about the structure of language etc. (2007, p. 69).

Very high numbers of students have success and students' anxiety levels in Turkish are not high. Turkish anxiety level is low. So level of students' achievement is high. Very few of the 314 students failed. Most students have a successful outcome, which is a natural indicator. According to Aydın and Zengin, students with high anxiety levels have low achievement levels (2008, p. 87). In other words, students with low anxiety level were more successful in learning a foreign language (Öner and Gedikoğlu, 2007, p. 77).

Success with test anxiety, which is one of the anxiety sub-dimensions, was observed as negative correlation. Test anxiety, students' knowledge and skills were seen as a negative factor.

There are close to zero correlation between success and attitude. The attitudes of the students to the target language affect the success in part.

Anxiety sub-components and attitude, 'success' variable were predicted by only 0,026 percent.

Anxiety, sub-dimension of anxiety and attitude of successful and unsuccessful students were investigated with the Mann Whitney U test. Accordingly, there is a

significant difference between the two groups in terms of negative evaluation (p <0.05). Unsuccessful students have a negative evaluation score higher than successful students. In addition, unsuccessful students have more total anxiety score than successful students (p <0.05).

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Anxiety is an effective factor in students' learning and effective use of their target language. Teachers who teach Turkish as a foreign language must be comfortable with the students in classroom and extracurricular environments. To do this, teachers who teach Turkish as a foreign language, first of all, should correct the concerns of the target audience. This is because the achievements of individuals with low levels of anxiety are higher than those of over-anxious students.

Test anxiety directly affects the success of students. For that, the examinations of students' knowledge and skills in full shifts should be line with psychological and philosophical perspective.

Success of individuals learning a foreign language, with a request for a particular purpose, depends on an adequate level of learning. In addition, a positive attitude towards the target language has greater importance. According to Göçer, Teachers who teach Turkish as a foreign language should have a positive attitude towards Turkish. This is because, the success of individuals with a positive attitude is higher than those without it (2009, p. 1309). Students learning language with a positive attitude use the target language effectively in everyday life and have higher achievement levels.

As we have seen, the success or failure of students is effective in anxiety states. For this, teachers should communicate effectively with their students, and should provide a comfortable environment in which they behave. And most importantly, the feelings, thoughts, experiences and proposals should create a media to express verbally and in writing.

Failed students have a high negative rating point. In addition, unsuccessful students have more total anxiety score compared to the successful students (p <0.05).

Turkish as a foreign language teaching task must be carried out by teachers who have adequate pedagogical formation. In addition, students learning Turkish as a new language should use well-prepared custom compiled texts, the story books that fit the purpose, etc. Students should be engaged in cultural tours in different social environments. This will increase their opportunity to use and learn the target language.

#### **Abbreviations**

**GİHL:** State High School (Germirli İmam Hatip Lisesi); **TCS:** Turkish Republics and Relatives of Communities

**Table 5a.** The Situation of Successful and Unsuccessful Students Related To Anxiety, Sub-Dimension of Anxiety and Attitude Scores

| Sub-dimension of Anxiety and Attitude | Success Status | n   | Mean     |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----|----------|
| Anxiety of Communication              | unsuccessful   | 12  | 25,75    |
| Anxiety of Communication              | successful     | 302 | 24,1987  |
| Anxiety of Negative Evaluation        | unsuccessful   | 12  | 36,6667  |
| Anxiety of Negative Evaluation        | successful     | 302 | 32,0099  |
| Anxioty of Tost                       | unsuccessful   | 12  | 16,75    |
| Anxiety of Test                       | successful     | 302 | 15,4636  |
| Anxiety of Turkish Classroom          | unsuccessful   | 12  | 37,0833  |
| Anxiety of Turnish Glassicom          | successful     | 302 | 35,0397  |
| Total                                 | unsuccessful   | 12  | 116,25   |
| Total                                 | successful     | 302 | 106,7119 |
|                                       | unsuccessful   | 12  | 94,5     |
| Attitude                              | successful     | 301 | 95,1595  |

**Table 5b.** The Situation of Successful and Unsuccessful Students Related To Anxiety, Sub-Dimension of Anxiety and Attitude Scores

| Sub-dimension of Anxiety<br>And Attitude | Success<br>Status          | N         | Mean of<br>Sequence | Total<br>Sequence |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Anxiety of Communication                 | unsuccessful               | 12        | 203,33              | 2440              |
| Anxiety of Communication                 | successful                 | 302       | 155,68              | 47015             |
| Anxiety of Negative Evaluation           | unsuccessful<br>successful | 12<br>302 | 218,17<br>155,09    | 2618<br>46837     |
|  | unsuccessful               | 12        | 187                 | 2244              |
| Anxiety of Test                          | successful                 | 302       | 156,33              | 47211             |
| American of Turking Classes              | unsuccessful               | 12        | 197,21              | 2366,5            |
| Anxiety of Turkish Classroom             | successful                 | 302       | 155,92              | 47088,5           |
| Total                                    | unsuccessful               | 12        | 212,92              | 2555              |
| Total                                    | successful                 | 302       | 155,3               | 46900             |
|  |                            |           |                     |                   |
| Attitude                                 | unsuccessful               | 12        | 165,58              | 1987              |
| 710000                                   | successful                 | 301       | 156,66              | 47154             |

Table 6. Descriptive Values of Variables and the Mann-Whitney U Test Results

|                | Sub-dimension of Anxiety    |                                   |                    |                                 |          |          |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
|                | Anxiety of<br>Communication | Anxiety of Negative<br>Evaluation | Anxiety of<br>Test | Anxiety of Turkish<br>Classroom | Total    | Attitude |
| Mann-Whitney U | 1262,000                    | 1084,000                          | 1458,000           | 1335,500                        | 1147,000 | 1703,000 |
| Р              | ,073                        | ,018                              | ,248               | ,122                            | ,031     | ,737     |

Exam; **TİKA:** Turkish Cooperation and Development Agency; **TÖMER:** Turkish Education, Research and Application Center; **YÖS:** The Examination for Foreign Students; **YÖK:** Higher Education Council; **TFL:** Turkish as a Foreign Language; **FLCAS:** Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale; **FLAS:** Foreign Language Attitude Scale.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Aydin S, Zengin B (2008). Anxiety in Foreign Language Learning: A Review of Literature (Yabancı Dil Öğreniminde Kaygı: Bir Literatür Özeti). J. Lang Linguistic Stud. 4(1):81-94.
- Batumlu DZ, Erden M (2007). Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi Yabancı Diller Yüksekokulu Hazırlık Öğrencilerinin Yabancı Dil Kaygıları ile İngilizce Başarıları Arasındaki İlişki. Eğitimde Kuram ve Uygulama 3 (1):24-38.
- Briem H (1974). Development of an Instrument to Measure Attitudes toward the Study of Foreign Languages. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Michigan, A A. (University Microfilms No: 75-652).
- Brown H (1994). Douglas, Principles of Language Learning and Teaching. Prentice Hall. Inc. Englewood Cliffs, 07632, New Jersey.
- Corbin SS, Chiachiere FJ (1995). Validity and Reliability of a Scale Measuring Attitudes toward Foreign Language. Educ. Psychol. Measure. 55(2):258-267.
- Dalkılıç N (2001). An Investigation into the Role of Anxiety in Second Language Learning, (Ph.D. Dissertation). Adana: Çukurova University the Institute of Social Sciences.
- Doğan A (2008). Lise Öğrencilerinin İngilizce Öğrenimlerini Etkileyen Yabancı Dil Kaygısı. Dil Dergisi, 139:48-67.
- Ergür DO (2004). Yabancı Dİİ Öğrenimi Sürecinde Kaygı. Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 26:48-53.
- Gardner RC (1978). Attitudes and Aptitude in Learning French, University of Western Ontario, Dept. Psychology, London, Research Bull 13:21.
- Gardner RC (1985). Social Psychology and Second Language Learning: The Role of Attitudes and Motivation. Edward Arnold, London.
- Gardner RC, Lambert WE (1972). Attitudes and Motivation in Second Language Learning, Newbury House, Rowley, Mass.
- Gardner RC, Smythe PC (1981). On the Development of the Attitude/Motivation Test Battery. Canadian Modern Lang. Rev. 37:510-525.
- Göçer A (2009). Türkiye'de Türkçeyi Yabancı Dil Olarak Öğrenen Lise Öğrencilerinin Hedef Dile Karşı Tutumlarının Bazı Değişkenler Açısından İncelenmesi. Turk. Stud. 4(8):1298-1313.

- Gülsün R (1997). An Analysis of The Relationship between Learner's Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety and Achievement in Learning English as a Language in the Freshman Classes at the University of Gaziantep, (Unpublished Master's Thesis). Gaziantep: The University of Gaziantep, Department of English Language Teaching.
- Horwitz EK (1986). Preliminary Evidence for the Reliability and Validity of a Foreign Language Anxiety Scale. TESOL Q. 20(3):559-562.
- Horwitz EK, Horwitz MB, Cope J (1986). Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety. The Moder Lang. J, 70:125-132.
- Kern RG (1995). Students' and teachers' beliefs about language learning. Foreign Lang. Ann. 28:72-92.
- MacIntyre PD, Gardner R (1989). Anxiety and Second Language Learning: Toward a Theoretical Clarification. Lang Learn. 33:251-275.
- Na Z (2007). A Study of High School Students' English Learning Anxiety. Asian EFL J, 9(3):22-32.
- Öncül R (2000). Eğitim ve Eğitim Bilimleri Sözlüğü. İstanbul: Millî Eğitim Bakanlığı Yayınları.
- Öner G, Tokay G (2007). Ortaöğretim Öğrencilerinin İngilizce Öğrenimlerini Etkileyen Yabancı Dil Kaygısı. Gaziantep Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi 6 (2):67-78.
- Pierson HD, Fu GS, Lee SY (1980). An analysis of the Relationship Between Language Attitudes and English Attainment of Secondary Students in Hong Kong, Lang Learn. J. Appl. Ling. 30(2).
- Saif HAA, Lori AAR (1999). Motivational and Attitudinal Variables Language Learning: A Comparative Study of Two Learning Groups. J. King Saud University 11(1):23-38.
- Salasiah CL (1999). Language Attitudes towards English and Language Proficiency in English among Malaysian Students: A Sociolinguistic Study of Penang Island. http://ethos.bl.uk/OrderDetails.do?uin=uk.bl.ethos.319259
- Sasson D (2010). Anxiety in a Second Language Learning. http://ezinearticles.com/?Anxiety-in-a-Second-Language-Learning&id=3506035
- Sertçetin A (2006). Classroom Foreign Language Anxiety among Turkish Primary School Students (Unpublished Master's Thesis). Bursa: Uludağ University the Institute of Social Sciences.
- Starks D, Paltridge B (1996). A Note on Using Sociolinguistic Methods to Study non-Native Attitudes towards English. World Englishes 15(2):217-224. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ530821
- TÖMÈR<sub>a</sub> (2002). Hitit Yabancılar İçin Türkçe 1-2; Hitit Çalışma Kitabı1-2, Ankara: Ankara Üniversitesi TÖMER Yayını (Ankara: Ankara Üniversitesi Basımevi).
- TÖMER<sub>b</sub> (2006). Yabancılar için Türkçe 1-2; Türkçe Dil Bilgisi; TCS YÖS Türkçe Deneme Sınavları. Ankara: Gazi Üniversitesi TÖMER Yayını (Uyum Ajans/Gözdem Ofset).
- Xu R, Li Y (2010). The Effects of Teachers' Verbal Behavior on Students' Anxiety- Based on the First-year College English Classroom in China. J. Language Teach. Res. 1(3):250-253.