Ottoman poetry—that is, poetry written in the Ottoman territories between the fourteenth and the nineteenth century—is primarily structured around three main figures: the lover (most often either the poet himself or a poet-persona), the beloved (the sultan, a person in a higher position, or an actual beloved), and the rival (a person or a thing attempting to obstruct the relationship between the lover and the beloved). Almost every genre of Ottoman poetry is replete with subtle descriptions of the emotions of the lover (the poet) aroused by interactions with these other characters. In the course of describing their emotions, Ottoman poets utilized a variety of metaphors, originating not only from their imaginary world but also from the material world that surrounded them. These metaphors were also the criteria by which poets’ artistic creativity and success were measured. To devise them, poets drew on such themes as religious beliefs and practices, local customs, eating habits, sartorial fashion, entertainment, and architectural monuments. In this article, I will demonstrate how sixteenth-century Ottoman poets utilize architectural imagery to create metaphors describing the physical properties of the beloved, and, with particular emphasis on the poetic genres of ghazal and qasida, I will analyze how architectural elements are represented.

My main literary sources are the divans (poetry collections) of Tacizade Cafer Çelebi (d. 1515), Zati, Fevri, and Baki; Tegkiretül-Ebniye (Memoir of Buildings) of Sa’i (d. 1595); Esvâfs-İstanbul (Characteristics of Istanbul) of Latifi (d. 1582); and hadikatül-Cevâmi (Garden of Mosques) of Ayvansarayi (d. 1787). Among these, two versions of Zati’s qasidas in manuscript form are in the Süleymaniye Library. The rest have been published.

In this article, I will argue that the beloved and the lover in the sixteenth-century poet’s imaginary world were often depicted by means of metaphors derived from architectural monuments, with reference both to physical resemblances and to abstract qualities—beauty, attractiveness, or the inspiration of awe. The reason for this, as Ahmet Hamdi Tanpınar observes, is that the architectural sphere was where the zenith of artistic creativity and production was achieved in the sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries, in Istanbul in particular and the Ottoman empire in general. The power of classical Ottoman architecture (ca.1450–1680) also affected the common people and, as Victor Hugo noted, played an important role in the development of architecture elsewhere in the world.

The intention to create a strong impact on the population, one that would highlight the power and magnificence of the state, greatly influenced the forms of classical Ottoman architecture. Sultans, sultans’ wives and daughters, and viziers and pashas built vast numbers of mosques, palaces, schools, dervish convents, fountains, and imarets (charity soup kitchens) throughout the empire. The many poems, stories, and legends about those architectural works confirm how inspiring and thought provoking the buildings were for those who viewed them. Not only Ottoman but also Byzantine buildings affected the imaginary world of contemporary writers. In sum, the sixteenth century was a century of architecture for the Ottomans. In poetry, metaphoric usage, in which qualities of one concept are “borrowed” to represent another, were influenced by the architectural grandiosity of the time. For example, the beloved was associated metaphorically with sacred and well-proportioned monuments. Physical properties of the beloved, which are often the starting point in Ottoman poetry, resemble elements of the mosque complex in shape and meaning. His or her face resembles a mihrab with golden inscriptions on it. His or her body is tall and grand like a minaret. Likewise he or she is a hospital that provides healing for those who are sick with love, etc. The lover, too, is
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likened to architectural objects: his eyes, like a fountain, never cease flowing; his heart is a palace in which the sultan (the beloved) lives. By bringing together the beloved, the lover, and architectural objects in a metaphorical context, the Ottoman poet provides us with rich information about Ottoman architecture and its meaning for Ottoman society.

To date, Ottoman literature and poetry have been studied primarily from two perspectives. First, the Ottoman literary corpus became the subject of ahistorical textual and linguistic analysis. The primary examples of this contextual approach assume that the meanings of the poetic *mazmûns* (conceits) remained static over time. Second, the corpus became the target of certain historians of Ottoman literature who utilized collections of poetry as repositories of information, detaching the information from the literary and artistic components of the poetry and avoiding any reference to the larger cultural and historical significance. There are also works limited to the analysis of one *divan* only. For example, within the studies known as *divan tahlilleri* (*divan* analyses) divans are examined and their contents classified according to subject matter extracted from individual couplets, without analysis of the couplets themselves. Instead, a few couplets are used as examples of both the subjects selected for classification and the literary arts they demonstrate. In this study, I intend to adopt a different approach, which I will apply broadly to several divans. I think of Ottoman poetry as resembling painting—the work of Salvador Dali, for example—in which one may see several different layers of meaning each time one looks. My method will be to examine both the external references and the internal, artistic elements of the poetry at the same time, without privileging one over the other. For example, when the poet speaks of the beloved’s tall body, he (or she) will use one or another figure of comparison (simile, metaphor, metonymy). Where the body is compared to an architectural object—say, a tall, slender minaret—I will attend not only to what this comparison says about the physical characteristics of the minaret (and the beloved) but also to how the artistry of the comparison itself makes the object and the person meaningful in a new way in order to represent a more general societal view. I will do this in the context of the work of several poets.

In my previous work I implemented this methodology in a detailed analysis of the ghazals of Zati and argued that Ottoman poetry reflected both the artistic and the material life of society. In other words, every metaphor derives not only from the poet’s literary or artistic imagination but also from that imagination applied to the materials of the concrete, physical world in which the poet lived. In one part of my work on Zati, I approached the relationship between poetry, art, and architecture by examining poetic imagery, manuscript painting, and other artistic materials in combination. I showed that Zati used his poetic imagination in describing different characteristics of public architectural units, such as, palaces, mosques, schools, hospitals, fountains, libraries, and the like. In some couplets architectural elements are mentioned together with references to their social functions, whereas in others purely architectural values or features are cited. The following couplet can be given as an example of the way I examine the poetry:

_Bahârî hûsnûni ıtmîs ey peri kandili divâne_
_Aliga şerbet virob hûddâni-ı câmi` (ekdi zenciré)_

O fairy! The spring of your beauty made the oil lamp crazy! The servants of the mosque gave it a draft (of sherbet) and chained it up! (See appendix, [11], below. Subsequent bracketed numbers following translated couplets also refer to Turkish transcriptions in the appendix.) As is well known, in the Ottoman period mosques were lit by oil lamps hanging from long chains. In this couplet, the oil lamp in the mosque is likened to a lover driven mad by love.Traditionally, people with violent mental disease were wrapped in heavy chains, which were thought to both calm and restrain them. The oil is likened to a medicinal draft used to treat the excessive secretion of black bile, one of the humors of premodern physiology and the source of melancholia (*sevda*), which was thought to cause madness, especially in the spring. The poet in effect is saying, “The beloved is as beautiful as springtime, and that beauty has made the mosque’s oil lamp burn madly, just as a lover burns, crazed by springtime melancholy. So the mosque attendants bind the lamp with chains the way one treats crazy people.” Thus, the poet uses both simile (*îşbîh*) and metaphor (*istiare*) to describe details of the decoration of a mosque interior.

Conversely, one could argue that Ottoman architects, belonging to the same cultural and material world, would read poets’ descriptions of various buildings and derive inspiration from these descriptions. For example, Baki, who is one of the eminent poets of the sixteenth century, may have inspired the great

As is well known, in the Ottoman period mosques were lit by oil lamps hanging from long chains. In this couplet, the oil lamp in the mosque is likened to a lover driven mad by love. Traditionally, people with violent mental disease were wrapped in heavy chains, which were thought to both calm and restrain them. The oil is likened to a medicinal draft used to treat the excessive secretion of black bile, one of the humors of premodern physiology and the source of melancholia (*sevda*), which was thought to cause madness, especially in the spring. The poet in effect is saying, “The beloved is as beautiful as springtime, and that beauty has made the mosque’s oil lamp burn madly, just as a lover burns, crazed by springtime melancholy. So the mosque attendants bind the lamp with chains the way one treats crazy people.” Thus, the poet uses both simile (*îşbîh*) and metaphor (*istiare*) to describe details of the decoration of a mosque interior.

Conversely, one could argue that Ottoman architects, belonging to the same cultural and material world, would read poets’ descriptions of various buildings and derive inspiration from these descriptions. For example, Baki, who is one of the eminent poets of the sixteenth century, may have inspired the great
Ottoman chief architect Sinan during the construction of the Süleymaniye mosque complex, in which Baqi lived for a time, serving as bina emini (project superintendent).

As Jale Necdet Erzen has written, for the Ottomans, discourse on art was an art in itself, and it was usually produced in a poetical form. In social gatherings held in public places such as taverns, artisans’ shops, or the palaces and pavilions of the Ottoman elite, artists developed their skills and displayed their talents. As the bureaucrat and intellectual Mustafa Âli (d. 1599) relates, the poet and royal companion Şemsî Pasha (d. 1581), who was one of Sinan’s patrons, regularly held literary conversations at his house. The grand vizier Sokollu Mehmet Pasha, after giving his guests a tour of his new pavilion in Istanbul, asked them to recite from memory the poems decorating its walls. These examples show how people from different circles gathered to carry on cultured conversations in which they shared ideas about art and literature.

Literary texts intensify and immortalize architectural works by adding literary and spiritual dimensions to material ones. The aim of an architect, whose role is indisputably central in creating monuments, is not only to create a functional building that serves the immediate purposes of the patron and the people who will use it, but also to create an aesthetically pleasing object that affects both the bodily senses and the spirituality of its spectators. Literary texts are among the ways to express patrons’ and architects’ desire to attain immortality. For example, the autobiography of Sinan describes how and why the Süleymaniye Mosque was decorated with verses and other texts after its completion:

Hasan Karahisari, the qibla of scribes, inscribed in müsennə [i.e., monumental thuluth] script the blessed verse “God keepeth the heavens and earth” from beginning to end on the skylike dome, and he composed appropriate inscriptions for each paradise-like door, designing many a heart-attracting written line, which stonecutters and painters drew on the pages of Time, thereby attaining fame and repute.

Before I delve into concrete examples of architectural images in divan poetry, I will dwell briefly on the shared mentality and worldview of poets and architects, in order to elucidate the idea behind their works.

GOD AS THE POET-IN-CHIEF AND ARCHITECT-IN-CHIEF

In the sixteenth-century Ottoman poetic imagination, God is the architect and author of the universe. The creation of the universe is the ultimate architectural production, and the tablet of God’s decrees (lauh mabfûz) is the ultimate literary work. The sultan, as the shadow of God on earth, aims to attain a God-like excellence and hence imitates Him in all endeavors.

In his Evsâf-i Istanbul (Characteristics of Istanbul), Latifi describes God as the chief architect of the “eighteen thousand” worlds (‘âlem):

See the One Who created the eighteen thousand worlds at a breath,
The Almighty Avenger Who, as quickly, destroys as many created things.

See the Architect Who, from the letters kāf and nūn,
Built these nine muqarnas vaults, this palace of six directions. [1]

In Islamic thought, it is believed that God created everything from nothing by His one order, “kun” (“be!”). Since the Arabic word kun is formed by the letters kāf and nūn, the author states that God created the universe from these two letters. This belief is based on a Qur’anic verse that reads, “When He intends a thing, His Command is, ‘be,’ and it is!” According to the poet’s description, this universe resembles a palace with nine muqarnas vaults (iâk-i mukarnes) and six directions: north, west, east, south, up, and down. God is thus envisioned as the ultimate architect of all worlds.

God’s image as an architect appears in another couplet:

That the angels might perform the holy-day prayer in heaven
The Architect of Glorious Works constructed a mihrab of gold. [2]

In the eyes of the poet and the architect the true object of art was a single one: praising the ultimate power of God. It was understood that God created the world as a sacred realm in order to provide people a place to worship Him. The poet and the architect were to imitate God’s work in this world.

An architect could decorate his monuments by inscribing on them various literary texts such as quotations from the Qur’an and the Hadith, proverbs, or
couplets in order to enrich their beauty, immortalize them, and enhance their spiritual character. A poet, on the other hand, could liken his poems to well-structured buildings. Both architects and poets, in a sense, tried to combine body and spirit in their works in order to use them as reflections of their worldviews:

O my eye, the Almighty so built the mosque of his beauty
That ever so many Sufi masters beg to be its overseer. [3]

God operates in this world through his viceregent, the caliph (halife) or the sultan. As the representative of God, the sultan is expected to build or rebuild the country. In addition to security, justice, peace, and welfare for the country, his subjects expect the sultan to erect buildings for them:

You are its Sultan, grief for you razed and ruined the land of the heart.
Good health befits the sultan, that he might rebuild the ruins. [4]

Here the heart is a country and the beloved is its sultan. While the sultan is away from the country, (i.e., from the heart), the country is destroyed and needs to be reconstructed, since he is supposed to keep his land well maintained and prosperous. As Sureiya Faroqhi notes, buildings help legitimize the ruler, above all in the eyes of the upper class of his empire, but also in the eyes of foreign Muslims.29 By the same token, the following couplets portray the sultan as the protector of architecture:

The image of the beloved friend built up the dominion of my heart.
Whichever land had a sultan in it was not [left] in ruins. [5]

Any heart is in ruins that does not have love as its halting place.
In the end, a land without a sultan is a land in ruins. [6]

Having discussed the role of the architect and the poet, I will now examine elements of the architectural monuments that are represented in poetry through comparisons to images of the beloved. Although references to almost all sorts of architecture occur in poetry and other literary works, here my main focus will be on mosques, churches, palaces, pavilions, bathhouses, schools, dungeons, bridges, and fountains.

THE BELOVED AND THE LOVER IN ARCHITECTURAL BUILDINGS

1. Mosques and churches

Mosques
There are two words used for “mosque” in Ottoman poetry: mescid and cemi.30 Mescid derives from an Arabic word that literally means a place of prostration (sujdu). Cemi stems from the Arabic root j-m- (to gather), and means “gathering place.” Al-jami’ (the Gatherer) is also one of the ninety-nine Most Beautiful Names (asmâ al-husnâ) of God. Mosques are not only places of worship but also public spaces where different social activities such as meetings and weddings may be held. Since mosques are so central in the formation of the Islamic city, they are often named after their founders, and neighborhoods are often named after the mosques located in them.31

Rich members of the Ottoman ruling elite, including the sultan and his family, built mosques in different parts of the Empire, often sited in the most visible places of a city. The magnificence of the Ottoman Empire is reflected on the hills of Istanbul, where the many minarets become part of the city’s skyline. For example, in his Hudikatü ’l-Cemî (Garden of Mosques), Ayvansarayi mentions the existence of 821 mosques in Istanbul in the eighteenth century.

Building a mosque is perceived as one of the most important good deeds (sadakaiyi cèrîye) in Ottoman culture, since it was believed to be a service both to God and to the people. Latifi, an early-sixteenth-century litterateur and biographer of poets, dedicated a chapter in his description of Istanbul to the features of some of the mosques there, starting with a poem about the importance of building a mosque in order to be remembered until the Day of Judgment:32

Fortune is his who leaves one good work in this world.
You see, the wind blows in place of him who has no works.
He is clever who, when he takes carnal pleasure in this world,
Intends to please his soul by (doing) good.
He whose work is lasting amid this transitoriness
Is immortal like Hzur and lives ‘til the resurrection. [7]
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In addition to their religious and social uses, mosques also have political functions. As is seen in the following couplet, the sultan is honored as the shadow of God when his name is recited in the mosque during the Friday sermon (Arabic: *khutba*):

What the sweet-voiced nightingale recites in the rosebed mosque
Is praise and gratitude to the sultan of the rose[s]. [8]

Mosques also have symbolic values; there is a clear relationship between Ottoman mosque architecture and the garden. As Walter Andrews puts it,

The great mosque is often flanked by an actual garden or gardens and the interior has many recognizable links to garden symbolism. There is a definite microcosmic character to...the dome decorated in star-shaped patterns, which underscore[s] the resemblance to the dome of the heavens, and beneath the dome the trees and flowers of the earthly and paradisical gardens reflected in a field of floral carpets surrounded by garden-motif tiles and stained glass.33

In addition, both mosque and garden are gathering places for believers.

In the Ottoman poetic tradition, the mihrab and dome of the mosque are often likened to the sky in terms of decoration. In Zati’s words,

O Zati, in the mosque of his power, the domes of heaven
Are nine brilliant decorated balls, one inside the other. [9]

As explained in the *Ma’rifet-nâmé* (Book of Knowledge) of İbrahim Hakki (d. 1780), it was believed that nine concentric celestial spheres roofed the entire world.34 In the couplet above, the mosque, in which small mirror-balls are hung, is likened to a nine-domed sky. As the stars decorate the sky, those balls decorate the superstructure of the mosque. As we saw in previous poems, Latifi refers to the nine domes as *tokuç tâks muqarnes* (nine muqarnas vaults).

Mosques were illuminated with oil lamps, and in order to enhance the amount of light and create a beautiful setting, decorations such as mirror-balls, ostrich eggs, tasseled porcelain balls, and glass balls with horseshoes were added.35 In poetry, the heart is said to resemble a small ball in its shape and an egg or a porcelain or glass ball in its fragility. The following distich refers to the pendant globes in mosques:

When the zealot of the city saw my heart in the decorated mosque of his beauty,
He hung mirrored balls in his neighborhood mosque. [10]

Oil lamps are also comparable to the heart in their shape and burning interiors:

They saw I bound my heart to the arch of the beloved’s brow
They were envious and hung a lamp from the vault of the mihrab. [12]

This clearly refers to the common practice of hanging oil lamps from the arches of mihrabs. The poet also uses one of the literary arts, *hüsün-ü ta‘lîl* (assigning a beautiful reason for ordinary and natural things), by presenting the jealousy of others as a reason for hanging lamps in mihrabs.

Among the main elements of mosque decoration, oil lamps were made from ceramic, glass, or metal; the large chandeliers in mosques were called *kandil.*36 To provide nighttime lighting, glass oil lamps, suspended from the dome by chains, were used; to maximize illumination they were hung not high up but rather slightly above head height. Each lamp contained a wick and oil, sometimes colored; when light reflected from the colored oil at the bottom of the lamp, it increased the brightness of the flame and created a pleasant environment. Latifi’s *Evâf-ı İstanbul (Characteristics of Istanbul)*, describes the illumination of the mosque of Mehmed II:

Oil lamps burn, as many as the stars,
Heaven-like, its interior is all candles and torches:
A building, the image of a mosque like Mount Qaf the great,
Or itself a mighty mountain without peer. [13]

Another example from Zati makes the heart an oil lamp:

It is understood that I should enter the mosque of love and hang
The oil lamp of my heart on [its] sublime arch once again. [14]

Minarets and domes

Different parts of the mosque are also subjects of the poetic imagination: mihrabs, minbars (pulpits), minarets, and domes are often compared to the physical
features of the beloved. The Arabic word *manar* literally means “place of light,” and according to some art historians, the architectural features of the minaret are derived from the lighthouse. The appellation “minaret” therefore identifies the word of God with His light. The minaret is also called *miźana*, which means a place in which the call for prayers (*ezan*) is held. In towns, mosques are monumental buildings that dominate the neighborhoods with their domes and minarets. Minarets also function as a sign of the holy month of Ramadan, during which they are adorned with ornaments and lights.

In the Ottoman poetic tradition, minarets are often compared to the beloved; especially to his or her body. In the following couplet, for example, the body of the beloved resembles a minaret in slenderness; the brightness of his or her face resembles the divine light of the Prophet Muhammad on the top of the minaret:

> O Zati, light flashes always above the minaret of his body
> The lantern of Osman’s cheek is lit from the divine light of Muhammad. [15]

Another couplet talks about the fire in the heart of the lover. In this case, its smoke rises straight up, resembling the minaret:

> The smoke of my burning breast is the mosque of affliction’s minaret
> O Zati, the oil lamps in it are sparks from the fire of my sigh. [16]

While minarets are known to have been illuminated during the month of Ramadan, it is unclear whether this practice was implemented during the rest of the year. These couplets suggest that torches, lanterns, or oil lamps illuminated minarets at other times as well; perhaps these structures functioned in the manner of lighthouses, giving direction to visitors or passersbys.

Like minarets, domes are also a distinguishing feature of mosques. In the following couplets, the poet refers to the universe as a mosque with nine domes:

> The heavens are a nine-domed mosque in the city of love for you.
> The smoke of the fire of my sigh rose high and became its minaret. [17]

According to poet’s imagination, the entire universe, consisting of nine dome-like layers, is a city of love, with all kinds of buildings. Within this vast city, there are heavens that are conceived of as a nine-domed mosque.

**Mihrab and minbar**

The mihrab and minbar are inner liturgical elements of mosques. Whether an actual recessed niche or the two-dimensional image of one, the mihrab indicates the direction of the qibla (the direction of Mecca) and gives the impression of a door or a doorway with a curved arch. Mihrabs and minbars are held to resemble the elements of the beloved’s beauty both in shape and in sacredness.

After capturing new lands, the Ottomans converted most large churches into mosques; such mosques are also called “church mosques.” *Kāfir* (infidel) mihrabs were added to these converted churches, and they are often positioned at an oblique angle to the axis of the structure, in order to correspond to the direction of the qibla. The following couplet shows that there are “infidel” mihrabs in some mosques:

> Let your perfumed locks hang down disheveled over your eyebrows,
> For infidel mihrabs are the right place for crosses. [18]

Here, the poet asks the beloved to let his or her black hair hang between his or her black eyebrows. (In Ottoman poetry, the beloved’s hair and brows are, with rare exceptions, presumed to be black.) The color black, worn by monks and priests, symbolizes blasphemy (*kufr*) in poetry; hair hanging down between two brows resembles a cross. One could even say that the beloved here is a seductive non-Muslim who is, in the way of infidels, very cruel.

In another couplet, the poet likens the beloved’s face with its curved eyebrows to a two-mihrab mosque, focusing on the beloved’s eyebrows rather than on the mosque architecture:

> Those who see the curve of his eyebrows in the sanctuary of his beauty
> Say, o Lord, what mosque is this that has two mihrabs? [19]

Mosques usually have only one mihrab, but there are exceptions. When a small mosque has insufficient room to hold a growing congregation, it is enlarged; during the renovation, a new mihrab may be added to
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the right or left side of the old one. These mosques are called “double-mihrab” (ikki mihrablæ) mosques. According to Ayvansarayi, there was also a three-mihrab mosque near Unkapani in Istanbul.

In the following couplet from his qasidas, Cafer Çelebi talks about the ornamentation of the mihrab:

Your door is the qibla of people in need.
The reason I prostrate myself there is the gilding on the mihrab. [20]

According to the couplet, the door of the sultan (the beloved) is the qibla towards which needy people turn for grants of gold (the gilding). The lover is among those who come to express their needs, show their respect, and prostrate themselves in front of the mihrab. Moreover, in the Ottoman poetic tradition, lovers are recognized by their pale (“yellow”) faces, which resemble the gilding on the mihrab. The couplet also hints at the poet’s plea for monetary reward.

Other examples liken the beloved’s eyebrows to the mihrab in shape and holiness:

The mihrab saw your eyebrows in the mosque and bowed
That it might do a prostration of thanks to God. [21]

and

That one who does not bow his head to the vault of your brow as to the mihrab
Should turn from the qibla; my qibla is the one whose face resembles the mihrab. [22]

Minbars—the raised structures from which the Friday sermons are preached and important announcements made—are mentioned in Ottoman poetry in terms of their resemblance (because of their right-triangle shape) to the nose of the beloved. Poems often refer to minbars of marble, wood, or tile; a minbar made of wood may be likened to a rosebush. Minbars in poetry can even be gilded with silver:

His nose is a silver minbar, his chin an oil lamp, his eyebrow a mihrab
Today Hatibołü is a mosque in the dominion of elegance. [23]

Here the poet is referring to a specific beloved and making a word play on the beloved’s name. He employs a form of the popular rhetorical device, tenasüb (congruence), using vocabulary related to features of a mosque in the context of a proper name, Hatibołü (son of the khatûb, or preacher), that contains a reference to the sermon (khutba) without actually mentioning that word. The specific retorical device used here is therefore iham-æ tenasüb (insinuation of congruence). The couplet that follows this says that the beloved’s nose is like a minbar made of ivory, without referring to any actual minbar. This device is hyperbole (mübalağa), meant to indicate how unusually precious the beloved’s nose is: in its luminescent white color, it resembles the the ivory of the imagined minbar.

That the Friday sermon is always preached in the name of the ruler is seen in the following couplet:

So what if the sermon is read in your name in the dominion of elegance?
In the mosque of your beauty, that nose is a silver minbar. [24]

In another example, a victory announcement is delivered from a minbar:

On the pulpit of the rose branch, the preacher nightingale
Recites a sermon of praise for the victorious Shah. [25]

Here the nightingale is the preacher on a rose branch, or wooden minbar, reading a sermon in the name of the victorious sultan. When his army captures a city, the sultan announces his victory through a sermon in the mosque. The following couplet also refers to royal announcements made from minbars:

Your vicinity is the most gracious of mosques, your door the mihrab of power.
All minbars are honored by your glorious name. [26]

Poetry has many layers of interpretation. These couplets remind us that mosques, as part of the worldly public sphere, were where important announcements were made, including proclamations of victory and change in rule.

Churches

Istanbul, or Constantinople, had been the most important religious center for the Eastern Church since the fourth century, when Emperor Constantine accepted
Christianity as the state religion. The city remained the center of Eastern Orthodox Christianity until it was captured by the Ottomans in 1453, and its religious importance continued even after it was converted to an Islamic capital. In the sixteenth century almost half the population of Istanbul was Christian, and churches were everywhere evident; hence the Muslims in the city were well acquainted with Christian customs and rituals. Churches and monasteries, and especially their decoration, were a source of inspiration for poetic imagery. In the following couplets, we learn that they were full of beautiful statues and wall paintings:

If you wish to find an image in the monastery of this world
Look always into the mirror of that moon’s beauty
The beloved here is cast as an aloof and cruel person. Although aware of the lover’s feelings and expectations, he does not change his behavior and attitude towards him: he acts, in other words, like a statue or wall painting. According to the poetic tradition, only an infidel could be so cruel, and in this the infidel is like a statue. Being a statue or a wall painting also means being unreachable:

O sun, you do not resemble the idols of China and Cathay
In truth you are a lovely painting in the monastery of the sky. [28]
What did you find in the monastery of this world but loving a faithless beloved?
What meaning results from loving a painting on a wall? [29]

In saying that there is no use in loving inanimate wall paintings that, like the disloyal beloved, bring no benefit, the second couplet contains an implicit critique of Christian rituals. In the following couplet, the poet puts both the Sufi and the monk in the same category, both of them easily distracted from prayer by the beauty of the beloved:

If you show your face in your neighborhood, o idol,
The Sufi will turn from the mosque, the monk from church. [30]
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even a room therein: this is clearly a plea for patronage.

At times the kasrs and kiosks of grand viziers and pashas could compete with the sultan’s palace in their ostentatious display of wealth, allowing architects and the artists of the time to display their talents. According to Latifi, these buildings were surrounded by high walls like the garden of paradise and had colorful, ornamented walls and ceilings like a bridal pavilion; they were often perfumed with incense.

The following couplets refer to the interior design of kasrs and kiosks whose inner walls were decorated with inscriptions, tiles, and paintings. Needless to say, such kasrs provided open windows into the private life of the Ottoman elite:

If it dies, my heart won’t beg for a mansion in paradise
Unless the image of my heart-holder is painted in it. [34]

My heart was a leaf in the rosette (ṣemse) of the mansion of affection
O Zati, the Eternal Designer has written it in the broken style. [35]

The element of decoration called a ṣemse (sun disk) is a decorative roundel consisting of a circle with radiating straight lines. Kasrs also had fountains (yâdarvân) and pools:

The heavens are a lofty mansion in the city of love
The moon and its halo are its pool and fountain. [36]

The moon and its halo are its pool and fountain
O Shah, the sky is a humble mansion in the garden of your power. [37]

The best feature of the kasrs, however, was their fine views, since they were built either by water or in a choice part of the town that commanded spectacular vistas:

My two eyes, placed in the window of the mansion of affliction,
Are, to me, two moist carnations in the flower pot of my skull. [38]

In order to watch the assembly of all the angels at dawn,
The sky, impassioned, opens a window in the azure mansion. [39]

The kasrs had complementary outbuildings (tetümât) such as barns, stables or gardeners’ houses:

Love placed a spacious mansion in the heart,
For which the nine-story heavens could not be an out building. [40]

Called ‘idgâh, the outdoor site allocated for great religious festivals resembles the kasr of paradise. Beauty in this world is a copy of the ideal beauty of paradise:

O Zati, I have never seen its like in the palace of this world:
What if I call the festival ground the garden of paradise? [41]

The following couplets refer to a bin-ı ‘id (festival building), which could be either a tent or a more substantial structure:

It would not be destroyed by the earthquake of time’s vicissitudes
If the festival building were constructed of the clay of his concern. [42]

O Prince, it would not be razed and gone in three days
If the festival building were founded on his wisdom. [43]

Metin And, citing the Surnâme-i Hümâyûn of 1582, notes that temporary buildings such as kiosks and mansions were built for the festival celebrating the circumcision of the sons of Murad III. Likewise the couplets above indicate that there were temporary buildings—perhaps tents—built especially for festival days and destroyed after the festivities were over. In praising the patron, the couplets seem to be saying that even the flimsiest temporary building, if constructed by the sultan, would be as lasting as the most solid, permanent structure. The poet, on the other hand, resembles the weak and temporary festival buildings destroyed within three days.

The commoners of Istanbul had ordinary houses (hâne). The poet says that it is better to have a hâne in Constantinople than a palace in Egypt. In the comparison is concealed another plea for reward:

Better for this miserable pauper than being ruler of Egypt
It would be if you grant him a house in Istanbul. [44]
3. Bathhouses

Bathhouses or hammams are either independent structures or dependencies in mosque complexes; they are public places used not only for cleansing but also for socializing. These buildings were quite common and were often endowed with an annual income to provide for their maintenance. An Italian traveler of the early sixteenth century, Luigi Bassano da Zara, estimates that the number of mosques, baths, and Greek churches in Istanbul and Galata numbered in the thousands.52

In the Ottoman poetic imagination, the hammams are representations of lovers who are burning inside and crying all day (that is to say, dripping with moisture). Poets’ descriptions of hammams mostly concern the feelings aroused by seeing their beloveds there. The following couplet mentions the existence of a hammam with nine private hot rooms (hâlvetêhâne), another reference to the idea that the sky is built of nine domes:

The heavens built a bath with nine private rooms in the city of your excellence,
O prince, and there the sun and moon set its bubbles flying. [45]

According to this comparison, the sun and the moon scatter light on the shiny soap bubbles that are the nine domes of the heavenly hammam. In the Ottoman poetic imagination, domes and bubbles on a surface resemble each other.

Double baths (cifte hâmâm) had two separate entrances, one for men and the other for women.53

Zati lies there like a hammam, with his eyes fixed on the sky,
Burning inside like the bath-furnace with the fire of separation. [46]

In this couplet, the poet pictures himself and the hammam in both emotional and physical terms by constructing a simile. In their roofs, hammams have round, projecting glass windows (çâm), which let in the sunlight equally and thereby resemble the eyes in the face. The poet is like the hammam: inside him the fire of love burns like the furnace in the hammam, and his eyes are like two glass windows facing the sky.

In the following couplet the poet again creates an imaginative connection between a hammam and his emotional state, comparing the tears of the lover to steam condensing on the glass and dripping down:

Since the steam of love went to my head, like a bath,
My eyes constantly drip moisture as do the windows. [47]

Another couplet refers to the fountains of the hammam:

O fairy, the tears of my eyes are flowing for love of you
One might think them two fountains flowing in a bath. [48]

Here the never-ending tears of the lover resemble the two bath fountains, one for cold and the other for hot water,55 that flow continuously.

4. Colleges and elementary schools

Ottoman medreses (madrasas or colleges) and mektebs (elementary schools) were either part of mosque complexes or independent buildings. Madrasa students lived in dormitories adjacent to the classrooms, and their expenditures were mostly covered by pious endowments. Walls usually isolated an elementary school from the surrounding neighborhood, so that the students within would be protected and free from distraction. In the following couplet, the poet awaits his beloved in the shadow of the school wall:

Where I wait with desire, like the shadow of a wall
Is one side of the school of my sun-faced, cypress-bodied one. [49]

In this couplet are two descriptions: one of the physical and psychological situation of the lover and poet, and the other of a structural feature of schools in his time. Schools were also called muâllim-êhâne, meaning “house of the teacher.”

Whenever my cypress is freed from the house of instruction [muâllim-êhâne],
His shadow lines the path he takes end to end with boxwood. [50]

The tall body of the beloved is emphasized through use of the figure tenasûb (congruence of vocabulary) in which the poet uses a group of related words: cypress (serv), boxwood (şîmsad), and shade or shadow (sîye). The cypress here represents both the beloved’s body and the trees of the schoolyard. Boxwood is a shade
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A tree that lines the school road. When the cypress is azâd (free or swaying), the lover is comforted by the beloved’s passing as though he (the lover) was in a street lined with boxwood. The word azâd is an equivoque (tevriye) that means “free (from school)” when “cypress” refers to the boy’s body, and “swaying with the wind” in reference to the tree itself (and, by extension, to the way the boy walks on his way home from school).

5. Dungeons (zindân)

Some military buildings, especially fortresses, were used as prisons or dungeons. Criminals might be put into dungeons in local fortresses such as Rumelihisar and Yedi Kule (the Seven Towers) in Istanbul or exiled to those in the far corners of the empire. The following couplet mentions nine dungeons, which could be visualized as a dungeon- or prison-like world covered by nine domes:

That you might see his essence in what lies behind [this world]
Come and, by grace, pierce these nine dungeons. [51]

Zati gives us a clue about the structure of a dungeon, suggesting that some were built underground:

If I give up my life by longing for the pit of your chin
I [will] have endowed a dungeon with all my worldly goods. [52]

In the Ottoman poet’s imagination the dimple in the beloved’s chin resembles a dungeon in which the lover’s heart is imprisoned. The couplet also refers obliquely to the story of the prophet Joseph, a paragon of male beauty imprisoned in a pit by his brothers. [57]

6. Bridges

Bridges are another type of structure referred to in Ottoman poetry. These structures, often built with pious intent, accommodated both military and civilian transport and pedestrian traffic. Building bridges was regarded as a charitable deed, which the wealthy were encouraged to perform. In Ottoman poetry, bridges generally are associated with the eyebrows of the beloved, in that the shapes of the spans resemble brows; in addition, because a span was called a göz (eye) in architectural terminology, there are many poetic similes between bridges and eyes. [58] Since the lover is often hopeless and weeping because of the separation from his beloved, his eyes, like the spans of a bridge, flow continuously with a “river” of tears:

O beloved, in separation you have made my tears a flowing river
And my eyebrows a bridge with two spans (eyes) over it. [53]

The image of the vault of [my] beloved’s eyebrow is a picture
In my weeping eyes like a bridge built over water. [54]

CONCLUSION

Reading poetry from perspectives that consider both its artistic and its documentary value can furnish us with means for understanding peoples’ lives and mentalities in a given period. By incorporating the study of Ottoman poetry into the history of art and architecture, I hope that I have demonstrated the inseparable relationship between poetry and architecture in the Ottoman artistic imagination. Although poetical references to architectural elements increased in later centuries, fifteenth- and sixteenth-century poetry set the parameters for the later conceptualization of architectural structures. In other words, architectural elements have been a subject of Ottoman poetry since its beginning.

In conclusion, it can be said that the two main characters of Ottoman poetry, the beloved and the lover, were depicted by means of metaphors in the sixteenth-century poet’s imaginary world, and that these metaphors were often inspired by both the actual and the abstract qualities of architectural monuments.

APPENDIXES

[1] Bir nefesde oñsekizbiñ {ålemi vår eyleyen
Bunca våru yok eden ol Kådir-i Kahhåra båk
Bu toåz tåk-tåk mårkarns bå seråy-i şef-cihåt
Kåf u Nüñ’dan bûnlar bûnyåd eden mî’måra båk (L) [59]

Yåpdå bir mårbåz zer mî’mår-å sun-’å Zü’l-celål
(C Ç K 7/9) [60]
[3] Cemâlîn câmi’în şöyle ‘imrâret eylemis Kâdir Ne süfi şeyhler olmak diler ‘aynum aña nâzîr (Z G 302/1)

[4] Sultânın dil mülkü yıklı hârâb itdi gamûn Vîrân ma’mûr itmege sultâna şîhât yaraşur (C Ç 41/2)

[5] Gönlümûn mülkin hâyalî döst ma’mûr eyledi Her ne yir kim anda sultan oldî virân olmadi (C Ç 235/4)


[8] Her câmi‘î gülsendê yine bûlbûl-i hûs-ân Sultânîs güle okuduzî medîî ü şenâdur (Z G 154/2)

[9] Câmi‘î kadrînde ellâk ol niçğaruñ Zâtiyâ Birbîrî içe tokuz garrâ mûşanna‘ topdur (Z G 187/5)


[14] Añlânan bu ki girûb mescidî-ı ’isk içre asam Ben bu kandîlî dili tâkî mu’âllâda yine (Z G 1303/2)


Çerâgî nûr-ı Ahmed’den yakar ruhsârî Ogmânûn (Z G 763/1)

[16] Duhanî-ı süzî-ı sinêmûd menâr-ı câmi‘î mihnet Aña Zâti kanadîl âteşi-ı âhum şîrûrdur (Z G 247/5)

[17] Felekler şehrî-ı ’ısküî içre tokuz kubbelî câmi‘ Duhan-ı âteşi-ı âhum çikûb aña menâr oldî (Z G 1758/2)

[18] Kaşlarîn üzre perîşân it muvêrût turreni Kim çelîpîlar yiridûr kâfîrî mihrâbîlar (C Ç G 23/3)

[19] Hüsnî hâmîrinde görendür dîvânî-ı ebrûlarîn Yâ Rab bu ne mescîd durur k’olmuñ aña mihrâb iki (C Ç G 243/2)

[20] Kible-i erbûb-ı hactîdûr kapuñ yûz sürdûgüm Vechî bûtûr kim olur teğhib mihrâb üstine (C Ç K 6/38)

[21] Gördî mescidde kaşun itdi rûkû’ Secede-i şûk kûlmaga mihrâb (Z G 65/3)

[22] Tâk-ı ebrûa şu kim baş egnewî mihrâb-vâr Kubleden dônsûn benîm kiblêm yûzî mihrâb-veş (Z G 592/3)

[23] Gümûş minberdûr ol bîni zeكان kandîl ü kaş mihrâb Hâtîbogî bugûn mûlîk melâhat içre câmi‘îdûr (Z G 250/3)

[24] N’ola mûlîk melâhate okûnsa âduña hûtbe Cemâlîn câmi‘înde bir gümûş minberdûr ol bîni (Z G 1688/2)


[26] Tapuñ elâtâ-ı câmi‘îdûr kapuñ mihrâb-ı devlet-dûr Mûsherrefîdûr senûñ nâm-ı şerûfûnle kamû minber (Z K F 13b)

[27] Deyrî-ı ‘alem içre sûret bulmâk istersen eger Hüsnî mi’râtuna ol mûhûn nazâr kil d’âîmâ (Z G 32 /4)
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[28] Ol büt-i Çın ü İhtı’ya beşizmesiniz ey güneş Gerci kim deyr-i felekde bir güzel tasvirsin (Z G 1024/3)

[29] Ne buldun deyr-i dünyada vefāsuz yar sevmekden Ne ma’ni ħasul olur şüret-i divâr sevmekden (Z G 1197/1)

[30] ‘Arz-i didâr eyleseñ küyunda varmaz ey sanem Söfi mescidden yaña rühbân kilib sădan yaña (Z G 45/3)

[31] Birî mäh olmuṣ anûn biri ḥorşîd-i cihân-ârâ Serâyî-ş kadâniñ düşmüş felek üzre iki ğâmi (Z K F 7b)

[32] Sakın kendûn görüb şeytân gibi olmayasın merdûd Sarâyn kalbuñe mir’ât-ı kalbi amâgîl zinhâr (Z G 146/6)

[33] Fazl ehlî kamû kasr-ı mu’allaða olurlar Ol ơda kirânsa buğun ‘azîc ü ğâsr (Z K F 20b)

[34] Õürûse eger kasr-ı cinân dilemez dilı Tavsîrı meger dil-erbûmnî anda ola naks (Z G 611/2)

[35] Şemse-i kasr-ı mahabbetde göñül yapråq imiş Zâti nakkâṣ-ı ezel yazmûş imiş anı şikest (Z G 74/8)

[36] Şehr-i ‘ışk içre bir kasr-ı mu’allaður felek Hale ile mäh anuñ ğâvzi vû şâdvânûdûr (Z G 285/4)

[37] Háleyile mäh anuñ ğâvzi vû şâdvânûdûr Bâq-ı kadînûdê şeḥî bir kasr-ı kemter âsumân (Z K F 11a)

[38] Manzar-ı kasr-ı belaya konmuṣ iki gözlerûm Bu sifâl-i serde iki ter karânfüldûr baña (Z G 41/3)

[39] Subhâdim dinvûnum seyr itmege cümle sûruṣuñ Kasr-ı mîndân ançar şevk-ile manzar âsumân (Z K F 11a)

[40] ‘Iṣk vaz’ eyledi dîlde yine bir kasr-ı firâh Olmaz aña tetûmmât sipîhr-i nûh-kâh (Z G 1071/1)

[41] Ẓâtiyâ dünyâ sarâyında nazîrîn görmedûm Nola dirsem ‘id-gâha kasr-ı Firdevs-i berin (Z G 10205/)

[42] Bozulmaz idi zelzele-i inkilâbdan Ger tîn-i himmetiyle yapılsa binâyı ‘id (Z K F 12b)

[43] Üç günde serverâ yiklûp gitmez idi ol Ger dest-i râyında urûlsa binâyı-’ıd (Z K F 12b)

[44] Ol eksiklû fâkîrî şâh-ı Mûsûr olmakdan artuḳ- dur Eger Kostantiniyye içre eyleseñ aña ‘atâ häne (Z K F 17a)

[45] Üçûrdî mihr ü mâh anda ḥâbûbûn servera yâp- âdi Felekl er-şehr-i fazlânda tokuz ğâvetlû hammâmî (Z K Li 6b)șe

[46] Yatar hammâm gibi gözlerîn göke diküb Zâti Derûnî nîr-i hecr ile yanar mânend-i tûn olmuṣ (Z G 602/5)

[47] Çakalu ‘ışk buhârî baṣa hammâmî gibi Dem-be-dem yaṣ âkûdûrdûr didelerûm câm gibi (Z G 1552/1)

[48] Ey peri ‘ışkuında eşki dide-i giyûn akar San ki bir hammâm içinde iki şâdvân akar (Z G 315/1)

[49] Şevk ile bekledûgûm säve-i divâr gibi Ol yüzi gün boyu servûvî şaraṭ-ı mektebidûr (Z G 398/2)

[50] Her kaça servûm mu’alla’m-ḥâneden âzâd olur Sâyesînderen reh-gûzarî ser-be-ser şimşây olur (Z G 297/1)

[51] Mâverânsa bûnuñ özüne temâṣâ göresîn Yetiṣ himmetle del bu tokuz zîndâmî (Z K F 42b)

[52] Cân virûsem âṣar-ı càh-ı zenaḥdânûfûla ger Eyledûm bâki kalan emlakûmî zîndâmê vakîf (Z G 636/2)

[53] Nehîr-ı càri eyledin fourkâtde cânâ yâṣûmî üstine bir iki gözûl köprüfü itdûn kâsunû (Z G 1522/1)
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